

REMARKS

Claims 1 to 10 and 12 were allowed. Claims 11, 14 and 15 were rejected.


Clims 11, 14 and 15 were rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schramm in view of Nason and further in view of White. The examiner has not found the arguments presented in the October 3, 2007 Amendment.

Applicant disagrees with the Examiner. However, in the interest of moving the prosecution forward, Applicant requests that Claims 11, 14 and 15 be cancelled.

Notice to the effect that claims 1-10 and 12 are allowable is respectfully requested.

Date: January 4, 2008

Respectfully submitted,


Rashida A. Karmali, Esq.

Reg. No. 43,705

Attorney for Applicant

99 Wall Street 10th Floor

New York, New York 10005

Tel: (212) 651-9653

Fax: (212) 651-9654



CERTIFICATE OF EXPRESS MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is transmitted by Express Class No. EB 776664596

US under 37 C.F.R. 1.10 on January 4, 2008 addressed to: Commissioner for Patents,

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Rashida A. Karmali
Attorney for Applicants

R. A. Karmali

Signature

1/04/08